

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/758,502 01/15/2004 David Benderly **BENDERLY** 6160 7590 12/09/2005 **EXAMINER** 156 KIRSCHSTEIN, OTTINGER, ISRAEL HEINRICH, SAMUEL M & SCHIFFMILLER, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **489 FIFTH AVENUE** NEW YORK, NY 10017 1725

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |             |
|---|--|---|-------------|
|   | 10/758,502   | BENDERLY, DAVID   |             |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |             |
|   | Samuel M. Heinrich   | 1725  |             |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply   | ppears on the cover sheet with   | the correspondence add  | ress        |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ate, cause the application to become ABAN | TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this condition  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |             |
| Status  |  |   |             |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |  |   |             |
|   | is action is non-final.  |   |             |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow   | ance except for formal matters   | s, prosecution as to the  | merits is   |
| closed in accordance with the practice under  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1   | 1, 453 O.G. 213.  |             |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |             |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 30 and 32-37 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 30 and 32-37 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | awn from consideration.  |   |             |
| Application Papers  |  |   |             |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a considered in the Examination is objected to be a considered in the Examination is objected to be a considered in the Examination is objected in the Examination is object | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje<br>e drawing(s) be held in abeyance<br>ction is required if the drawing(s)  | s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFF   | R 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |             |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in App<br>fority documents have been re<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                      | lication No<br>ceived in this National S  | Stage       |
| Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4\ ☐ Interview Sum   | nmary (PTO-413)   |             |
| <ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/N  | Mail Date   | 152)        |

Application/Control Number: 10/758,502

Art Unit: 1725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,129,965 to Langan in view of USPN 6,329,631 to Yueh. Langan describes (column 1, lines 36-47) well known label manufacture and label shipping and subsequent label use at a site separate from the manufacture site. Langan does not describe applying stencils and subsequent marking objects. Yueh describe a well known stencil filled with fusible material such as metal. The use of a stencil such as disclosed by Yueh with a manufacture and shipping technique such as described by Langan would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the stencil can be produced at one site and used at a different site intended for a different production.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,129,965 to Langan in view of USPN 6,329,631 to Yueh as applied to claim 30 above, and further in view of USPN 3,464,617 to Raynes et al. Raynes et al describe well known adhesive application of a perform carrier to a work piece. The use of such adhesive application would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was

Art Unit: 1725

made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the location of the coating material can be easily controlled.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,129,965 to Langan in view of USPN 6,329,631 to Yueh as applied to claim 30 above, and further in view of USPN 4,179,322 to Brown et al. Brown describes the use of a cover layer and the use thereof with a carrier a marking material would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the cover protects the work piece prior to the use thereof.

Claims 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6,129,965 to Langan in view of USPN 6,329,631 to Yueh as applied to claim 30 above, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). AAPA describes (Specification, Description of the Related Art) related art which discloses well known application of marking material to diamonds including custom inscriptions and graphics. The use of a diamond workpiece with a marking process would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because marking of diamonds for identification has been done at least for decades.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art pertains to transfer features.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30 and 32-37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,502 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

Samuel M. Heinrich